

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENT	TOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/347,427	07/06/99	CLARK		R	M3477.0000/P
_			\neg		EXAMINER
		IM52/0226			
MARK J THRON	NSON			VARGOT	
DICKSTEIN SH	HAPIRO MORII	N & OSHINSKY LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2101 L STREE	ET N W				9
WASHINGTON I	OC 20037-15:	26		1732	ı
				DATE MAILED	1
					02/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Comment	09/347427	CLARK et d.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	M. VHR60T	1732
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears n the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	3	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	reply within the statutory minim	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication
Status : .		
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/13/0	0	
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19		
Disp sition of Claims		
X Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
	·	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		
		requirement.
Application Papers ,		
Application Papers . ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.	
·	•	☐ disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection. 	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-	·(d).
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents he	·(d). ave been
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents have	·(d). ave been
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents have aber) nternational Bureau (PCT F	·(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents have aber) nternational Bureau (PCT F	·(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received: □ *Certified *Certified copies not received: □ *Certified *Certified *Certified copies not received: □ *Certified *Certifie	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT F	·(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT F	-(d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. _______

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maus et al in view of Hambright or Hambright in view of Maus et al for reasons of record.

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed December 13, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant notes that the instant invention is capable of making an optical product having a patterned surface without the need to fit a stamper. However, claim 1 only requires that the second mold unit has a mold surface containing the plurality of patterns and claim 10 calls for using a metal puck with a plurality of patterns which is located against another mold surface. It is not seen that the combination of references has been inaccurately applied. While mold part 14 may indeed be a stamper, it nevertheless constitutes a mold surface of the instant type.
- 3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/347,427

Art Unit: 1732

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 4.

should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is (703) 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M. Vargot

February 25, 2001

M. Vrugot

Page 3

2/15/01